UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, . Criminal No. 23-cr-00293-JMA-JMW

Vs.

. 100 Federal Plaza

ADAM KAPLAN and . Central Islip, NY 11722

DANIEL KAPLAN

. DATE: July 25, 2023

.

TRANSCRIPT OF ARRAIGNMENT
BEFORE HONORABLE JAMES M. WICKS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS OFFICE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK BY: ANTHONY BAGNUOLA, ESQ.

271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, NY 11201

For Defendant: SHER TREMONTE, LLP

Daniel Kaplan BY: MICHAEL TREMONTE, ESQ.

41 Madison Avenue, 41st Floor

New York, NY 10010

For Defendant: MORRISON COHEN, LLP

Adam Kaplan BY: ERIC CREIZMAN, ESQ.

(Telephonically)

909 Third Avenue, Fl. 27

New York, NY 10022

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

TRACY GRIBBEN TRANSCRIPTION, LLC
PO BOX 688
Middletown, NJ 07748

(732) 263-0044 Fax No. 732-865-7179
800 603-6212
www.tgribbentranscription.com

Case 2	23-cr-00293-JMA-JMW	Document 135-1 #: 1030	Filed 12/06/24	Page 2 of 38 PageID
				2
1	I N D E X			
2				
3			PAGE	
4	ARRAIGNMENT		9	
5	BAIL CONDITIONS		13/29	
6				
7	SURETOR, IDIDA KAR	PLAN, SWORN	20	
8	SURETOR, STUART KA	APLAN, SWORN	21	
9				
10	WITNESSES			
11	STUART KAPLAN			
12	Examination k	y the Court	22	
13	IDIDA KAPLAN			
14	Examination k	y the Court	23	
15				
16	SPEEDY TRIAL WAIVE	ZR	35	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

R E I Z M A N. Eric Creizman.

THE CLERK: Calling criminal case 2023-293, United $2 \parallel \text{States}$ of America versus Adam Kaplan and Daniel Kaplan. 3 Counsel, please state your appearances for the record. MR. BAGNUOLA: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Anthony Bagnuola for the United States. To my left is FBI Special Agent John Iannuzzi. And to his left is US Pretrial Services Officer, Christina Deprimo. Good afternoon, Judge. THE COURT: And good afternoon to all of you. MR. TREMONTE: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Michael Tremonte of Sher Tremonte LLP. To my right is my client Daniel Kaplan. To Daniel Kaplan's right is his brother, Adam Kaplan, represented by Mr. Creizman who is on the phone. THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon to all of you. And MR. CREIZMAN: (indiscernible) THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Creizman. MR. CREIZMAN: I just wanted to say, I'm appearing 18 (indiscernible) for Adam Kaplan, I'm from the firm of Morrison Cohen. THE COURT: All right, good afternoon, as well. All right, Mr. Creizman, could you just state name again, we just moved the microphone a little bit closer to the phone. MR. CREIZMAN: Sure, It's Eric, E R I C, Creizman, C

THE COURT: Okay, great, thank you.

MR. CREIZMAN: Thank you. 1 2 THE COURT: And can everyone at counsel table hear 3 Mr. Creizman? 4 MR. TREMONTE: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And Mr. Bagnuola, you as well? 6 MR. BAGNUOLA: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay, More importantly, both Mr. Kaplans, 8 are you able to hear him? 9 DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes. 10 DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes. THE COURT: All right, just do me a favor, you just 11 12 use the mic. And you don't have to -- nobody has to stand or 13 rise, just use the mic, make sure the mics are on when we 14 speak, all right? 15 Okay, so good afternoon, I am Magistrate Judge Wicks, 16 and you're both here today before me because you're charged 17∥ with certain crimes in an indictment that's been filed against 18 both of you. Have you both been provided a copy of the 19 indictment? 20 UNIDENTIFIED DEFENDANT: No. 21 THE COURT: No, all right. 22 MR. TREMONTE: Your Honor, the indictment was emailed 23 to counsel, as we were on our way to court today. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 MR. TREMONTE: If I may have just two minutes with --

4

5

6

7

8

10

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: I can give you more than two minutes.
 2 You can take as much as you need. And we'll silence the mics
 3 \parallel and you can spend as much time as you need to go over the
   indictment.
             MR. TREMONTE: Thank you.
             THE COURT: All right?
             MR. TREMONTE:
                           Yes.
             THE COURT: Let me ask you this though, Mr. Creizman,
 9 have you reviewed the indictment?
             MR. CREIZMAN: I have no. I've been driving from
   Asbury Park, New Jersey, actually. So I'm still, I've been
   driving the whole time.
12
             THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you this then.
14 it possible, and I look at the Marshals for this, if counsel
   and clients can go in the attorney's room right outside here.
   And perhaps get MR. Creizman on his cell phone and you can have
16
   a call, all four of you. Is that acceptable?
             MR. TREMONTE: It is for the defense, Your Honor.
             OFFICER: (indiscernible)
             THE COURT: You tell me, I look to you.
             OFFICER:
                      (indiscernible)
             THE COURT: Wherever you want. Okay. As long as
   they get reception and can call.
             OFFICER: Yes.
             MR. TREMONTE: Thank you.
```

(Recording silenced @ 4:31:17) 1 2 (Recording resumed @ 4:37:01) 3 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Creizman, we're just about $4\parallel$ ready to go, although I lost my courtroom deputy. She'll be back in in a minute. Can you hear me okay? All right, can you 6 hear us, Mr. Creizman? 7 THE CLERK: He may be trying to join the call. 8 THE COURT: He did, he joined already. 9 THE CLERK: Oh he did. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MR. CREIZMAN: I'm on, I'm on, sorry. I hear you. 12 THE COURT: You can hear us okay? Mr. Creizman, can 13 you hear us okay? 14 MR. CREIZMAN: I do, thank you. 15 THE COURT: Okay, ready to proceed? 16 MR. TREMONTE: Yes, Your Honor. 17 MR. BAGNUOLA: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, did you have, Mr. Tremonte, did you 18 have sufficient time to speak with both of the defendants? MR. TREMONTE: Yes, Your Honor. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Creizman, did you have an 22 opportunity to participate in that discussion? 23 MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Okay, and did you have sufficient time to 24 25 review the indictment with your clients, both of you, Mr.

this proceeding, sign papers on your lawyer's behalf?

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

21

23

25

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Creizman, and that's acceptable to you too, right?

MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, all right. So let's proceed. All right, so now, both Adam and Daniel, if you don't mind me calling you by your first names, because you have the same last names, do you -- have you had the opportunity to review the indictment Adam?

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, Daniel?

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay, have you both had sufficient time 14 to review it with your lawyers?

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes.

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, so we're here for the 18 initial appearance on the indictment and the arraignment on the 19 indictment. And what that means is several things. First, I'm going to advise you of the charges in the indictment, which you've just gone over with your lawyers. Second, I'll advise you of your rights with respect to the indictment. I'll then take your plea to the charges of the indictment. And then we'll 24 consider bail or detention.

The indictment was sealed previously. I issued an

1 order earlier today unsealing it. Mr. Bagnuola, you got that 2 order already?

MR. BAGNUOLA: Yes, Your Honor.

3

4

6

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

THE COURT: Okay, so it is unsealed. Okay, so first $5 \parallel I'$ m going to explain your rights. You have certain constitutional rights. Of course first and foremost you have the right to remain silent. You're not required to make any 8 statements whatsoever. Even if you've already started to make statements you don't have to continue. You can stop, you can 10 stop at any time, you don't have to make any further statements. You don't have to say anything more. Any statements that you've made or make in the future could be used against you. Do you understand that, Adam?

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, Daniel?

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Any questions to me, as to your 18 right to remain silent?

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: No.

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: No.

THE COURT: All right. You have the right to be 22 represented by a lawyer, by counsel, during all phases of this court proceeding, both today and throughout the entire case. 24 And during any and all questioning by authorities. So you have 25 the right to hire your own attorney, or if it comes a point in

10 1 time where you can't afford an attorney, I will appoint one for 2 you. The Court will appoint one for you for every step of the 3 case. Do you understand that? DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. And Daniel? Oh that was Daniel. 6 Adam? 7 DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And today, Daniel, your lawyer is 9 Mr. Tremonte, correct? 10 DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes. 11 THE COURT: And you have had an opportunity to speak with him about today's proceedings? 13 DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes. 14 THE COURT: All right. And how about you, Adam? Have you had sufficient opportunity to speak with Mr. Creizman about today's proceedings? 16 17 DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Now, you have the right to be 18 19 released either conditionally or unconditionally, pending 20 trial, unless I find that there are no conditions that would 21 reasonably assure your presence at future court appearances, or 22 the safety of the community is at risk. If you're not citizens

23 of the United States, you have the right to have a law

24 enforcement official notify a consular of your country of

25 origin that you've been arrested. Do you understand your rights

11 as I've explained them to you so far? 1 2 DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes. 3 DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes. THE COURT: All right, any questions of me so far 4 5 about that? 6 DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: 7 DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: No. 8 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bagnuola, under Rule 5(f), Criminal Rules of Criminal Procedure, I do direct the 9 10 prosecution to comply with its obligations under Brady against Maryland and progeny, and that is to disclose to the defense all information, admissible or not, that might be favorable to 13 \parallel the defendants that's material either to quilt or punishment, $14 \parallel$ and known to the prosecution. Of course possible consequences 15 for noncompliance could include dismissal of charges, dismissal of the case, professional discipline and sanctions. 16 I will enter an order more fully describing the 17 obligations and the consequences, but in the interim, I would like the prosecution to confirm that it understands these 19 20 obligations and will fulfill them. 21 MR. BAGNUOLA: We do and we will, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right, thank you. I will enter an 23 order to that effect as well. All right, I have before me the

indictment. And I'm just going to advise now of the charges.

Mr. Creizman, you've now at least discussed the

12 indictment with your co-counsel and your client? 1 2 MR. CREIZMAN: I have. 3 THE COURT: All right, and have you reviewed it with 4 your client, Adam Kaplan? 5 MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, I have. 6 THE COURT: All right, and do you waive its public 7 reading? 8 MR. CREIZMAN: I do. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tremonte, how about you, have 9 10 you reviewed it with your client, Daniel Kaplan? 11 MR. TREMONTE: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. And do you waive its public 13 reading? 14 MR. TREMONTE: We do. 15 THE COURT: All right. Now, I'm going to summarize 16 briefly what the indictment is for and what you've been charged 17∥ with. And it charges you both with 16 counts, including 18 conspiracy to commit wire fraud, wire fraud itself, investment 19 advisor fraud and money laundering. And it also includes a 20 criminal forfeiture allegation, all arising out of your 21 activities as financial investment advisors from May of 2018 to November of 2022. Do you both understand my summary? I'm not 23 asking you to admit to it. Just do you understand my summary

24 of the indictment? Daniel?

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

21

THE COURT: Adam?

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now that you've been advised of the charges against you, how do you wish to plead at this time?

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Not quilty.

THE COURT: All right. Adam?

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Not guilty.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I understand as to bail, detention or release, that there is an agreed upon package, is that correct?

MR. TREMONTE: Yes.

MR. BAGNUOLA: Yes, Your Honor. Earlier this afternoon the Government filed a factual recitation under docket entry number 6, that sets forth various bases that the 16 Government contends establish sufficient circumstances to 17 \parallel justify stringent conditions of release. In this case I'm 18 pleased to say Mr. Tremonte and I have come to agreement on broad terms of the conditions of release that would be 20 necessary to satisfy the Bail Reform Act.

In sum and substance, that include a \$5 million bond, 22 \parallel two and a half million per defendant. Fully secured by two 23 properties owned by the defendants' parents. One in Great 24 Neck, one in New York City. And that bond will be cosigned by 25 the defendants' parents, making each of them jointly and

6

9

13

14

15

17

18

19

23

24

1 severally liable for the 5 million in the event either of the $2 \parallel$ defendants should flee from the jurisdiction, or otherwise 3 violate any condition of their release.

The Government would also ask the Court to impose the 5 special conditions of release that Pretrial Services has recommended that are set forth on page 3 of the Pretrial Services report, for both Adam and Daniel Kaplan, they're 8 numbered 1 through 6 on both of those documents.

THE COURT: All right, I see that. Let me just ask 10 \parallel you a couple of questions. So the \$5 million bond, that would be what, joint and several or we're doing two separate bonds 12 here? You can remain seated.

MR. BAGNUOLA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you though, I appreciate it.

MR. BAGNUOLA: I would propose two separate bonds

16 here.

THE COURT: Yes, so would I.

MR. BAGNUOLA: Each separately and independently --

THE COURT: Yes.

20 MR. BAGNUOLA: -- guaranteed by the properties.

We've taken the liberty, Officer Deprimo and I, to prepare two

bonds which I've provided to Mr. Tremonte for his review.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BAGNUOLA: I think each defendant should have his 25 own bond.

3

4

6

15

17

18

21

24

25

15 THE COURT: In the amount of two and a half million 2 each. MR. BAGNUOLA: That's right, Your Honor. THE COURT: And both bonds will be secured by two 5 suretors, the parents? MR. BAGNUOLA: Yeah, as the beneficial owners of the properties, I would propose that both parents sign both bonds. 8 Which adds, in addition to this collateral security, the moral suasion that the Court typically looks to in order to guarantee 10 the defendants' appearance, and compliance with the terms of 11 release in cases like this. 12 THE COURT: Okay. But who owns the properties, the 13 \parallel two properties, that is the New York City, just to be clear for 14 the record, is the 400 East 70th Street property. MR. BAGNUOLA: I'm going to defer to Mr. Tremonte if 16 the Court permits. THE COURT: Yes, of course. MR. BAGNUOLA: Because I think he can speak in greater, with greater precision than I can, as to the ownership of the properties. 20 THE COURT: Okay, let me ask you, Mr. Tremonte then. As to the property, the 400 East 70th Street property, first of 22 23 all, is it a condo or is it a co-op? MR. TREMONTE: That property is a condominium.

THE COURT: It's a condominium, okay. And what is

THE COURT: It's held by a trust. Why did I think

25 the property -- where did I read that it was held by -- hold on

24

7

9

10

12

14

15

16

18

20

23

24

25 solely on the suretors.

MR. TREMONTE: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So we're really I'm going on this

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

15

18

20

21

22

MR. TREMONTE: Correct, Your Honor. And to be clear, the lack of financial information is on the advice of counsel 3 because there may be, there's likely to be overlap between that information and the charged -- the allegations.

THE COURT: So how do I make a determination on flight without the defendants offering up their assets?

MR. TREMONTE: So the -- I guess which side of it, so 8 the defendants will be maintained by their parents. So in terms of you know, having sufficient resources to live.

THE COURT: If I -- hypothetically if these defendants have much more than the value of these properties and -- the whole purpose of the conditions and the bail conditions, the bond amount, is to insure compliance in terms of court appearances. So how -- I understand it puts the suretors at risk, and it's their parents, I don't know the relationship, but how, what assurances do I have that these $17 \parallel$ numbers are a deterrent from skipping for the defendants?

MR. TREMONTE: Well I don't believe there's an allegation that either my client or Adam Kaplan possesses resources in excess of what's available to their parents in the value of these residences.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes, so I'm looking at page 2 of 23 the Pretrial Services report for Adam. And under finances, it says, according to a Lexus Nexis inquiry, it appears that defendant Adam, and his sister Michelle Kaplan, are the current

6

7

8

10

11

21

22

23

19 owners of their parents residence at 19 Gateway Drive in Great 1 2 Neck. I thought I read that somewhere. 3 MR. TREMONTE: Your Honor, may I have a moment to confer? 4 THE COURT: Of course you can. (Recording silenced @ 4:54:15) (Recording resumed @ 4:55:40) MR. TREMONTE: Thank you, Your Honor, for the additional time. 9 THE COURT: Yes, of course. MR. TREMONTE: So my understanding is is that that is just -- it's just inaccurate. The property has a, sort of a 12 complex ownership history. And for a period of time, more than 13 ten years ago, ownership was with the children. But in a formally recorded transaction sale it was sold back to the --16 who since that time have been and continue to be the sole 17 owners. And Your Honor, to address the Court's prior question, 18 not only is there no allegation of resources of that magnitude. But the moral suasion of course is that if either of Adam or 20 Daniel abscond and fail to show up to court --THE COURT: Oh I get that part, yes. MR. TREMONTE: Okay.

THE COURT: And hopefully they do too. But I just

wanted to clarify that in terms of -- because I have no

financial information about Adam or Daniel.

```
Okay, so now, the trust instrument itself, are you
 1
 2
   able to provide that at some point to Pretrial Services?
 3
             MR. TREMONTE: Yes.
             THE COURT: Okay.
 4
 5
             MR. TREMONTE: We can do that within a week.
 6
   Your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. Because that would be part of it.
 8 \parallel \text{All right, suretors are here, I'd like to -- I'd like them to
 9 perhaps -- it's probably easier if they come to the witness
10\parallel stand. I want to ask them a few questions. You can either
   stand or sit up there, whatever is easiest for you. Just use
12 the microphone. Okay, I'm going to ask Doreen, my courtroom
13 deputy, to sworn both of you, all right, so I can ask you a few
14
   questions.
15
             THE CLERK: If you could stand and raise your right
16 hand. I'll swear you in each individually.
                   SURETOR, IDIDA KAPLAN, SWORN
17
18
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you, you may be seated. Hold on a
19 second. Did we --
20
             THE CLERK: Mr. Creizman, did we lose you? I think
21
   we might have lost him.
22
             THE COURT: Sure did. Okay, why don't you swear in
23
             THE CLERK: He may try and dial back in.
24
25
             THE COURT: Yes, and then we'll -- yes.
```

SURETOR, STUART KAPLAN, SWORN

THE COURT: All right, thank you, you may be seated as well.

> THE CLERK: Mr. Creizman, are you back?

MR. CREIZMAN: I am back, I somehow dropped off, but I'm back.

> THE CLERK: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

THE COURT: Okay, good the only thing you missed is we just administered the oath to the proposed suretors. That is the parents, okay?

MR. CREIZMAN: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: You're welcome. Okay, I just, I want to 13 ask you a few questions. Is it your intention to act as the suretors for the bond here in the amount of \$5 million total, that is two and a half for each of your sons. First Missus?

MS. KAPLAN: Yes.

And Mister? THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KAPLAN: Yes.

Okay. Do me a favor, pull the mic close. THE COURT: Put it right between you. Make sure that little light is on and just speak into the mis so we can all hear you. And this is a very important responsibility. So I want to make sure you understand what it means, okay, before you agree to do it. Because I'm going to ask you some questions and that's why I asked Doreen to put you under oath.

So could you just state your full names for the 1 2 record. 3 MS. KAPLAN: Idida A. Kaplan. THE COURT: Okay. 4 5 MR. KAPLAN: Stuart Kaplan. Okay. 6 THE COURT: 7 SURETOR, STUART KAPLAN, PREVIOUSLY SWORN 8 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: 9 And Mr. Kaplan, are you currently working? 10 A Yes. 11 Q What is your occupation? 12 A I'm a physician and allergist. 13 Q At where? 14 A Massapequa, New York. 15 Q Okay, are you affiliated with a group or a practice? 16 A Private practice. 17 Q Okay. And for how long have you been doing that? 18 A 41 years. 19 Q Okay, and what is your annual income? 20 A Net, gross? 21 Either way, just clarify which you're telling me. 22 A About \$500,000. 23 Q Net or gross? 24 A Net.

25 Q

Okay.

SURETOR, IDIDA KAPLAN, PREVIOUSLY SWORN

- 2 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT:
- 3 Q How about Missus. Could you tell me what your occupation
- 4 is?

1

- 5 A I'm a physician, ophthalmologist in private practice.
- $6 \parallel Q$ Okay, are you affiliated with a group or a practice?
- 7 A Solo practice.
- 8 Q And where do you practice?
- 9 A My practice is located in Great Neck, on Northern
- 10 Boulevard.
- 11 Q All right, and for how long have you been in practice?
- 12 A Over three decades.
- 13 Q All right. And what is your annual income?
- 14 A Roughly the same, over 500.
- 15 Q And that would be net?
- 16 A Net.
- 17 Q Okay. Now, could you describe your home, we heard earlier
- 18 in Great Neck, that is owned by you through a trust?
- 19 A Yes. That's correct, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: All right, pause for a second. Let's
- 21 just make sure he's --
- 22 THE CLERK: Mr. Creizman, did we lose you? I think we
- 23 lost him again.
- THE COURT: All right, just bear with us a second
- 25 until he gets back on, all right?

(Court and Clerk confer)

THE CLERK: Mr. Creizman, are you back?

MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, I'm back, I'm so sorry. I don't know what happened but I'm back.

THE COURT: Don't worry about it. As soon as you got off we knew you got off and we didn't do anything further. So you didn't miss anything this time, all right?

MR. CREIZMAN: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay, so both parents are now on the 10 | stand and I'm just asking them a few questions about assets. And they were just about to tell me about the home in Great Neck. Is that held -- are you both trustees of the trust that 13 holds the title to the home in Great Neck?

MR. KAPLAN: Yes.

MS. KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And that is 19 Gateway Drive, 16 17 Great Neck?

MR. KAPLAN: Yes.

MS. KAPLAN: That's correct.

20 THE COURT: And for how long has the trust owned that

21 home?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

14

15

18

19

24

22 MR. KAPLAN: Well that's a -- I took approximately, 23 let's see -- over ten years.

THE COURT: Okay, and are you both the beneficiaries 25 of that trust?

MR. KAPLAN: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: Sole beneficiaries? 3 MR. KAPLAN: Sole beneficiaries. It's a revocable 4 living trust. 5 THE COURT: Does any other person or entity have an 6 interest in that property other than the trust? 7 MR. KAPLAN: No, just myself and my wife. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And counsel represented that the value of the property was roughly \$3 million, is that correct? 9 10 MS. KAPLAN: It's probably more than 3 million. 11 THE COURT: All right. And is there any debt on that property? 12 13 MS. KAPLAN: No. 14 MR. KAPLAN: No. 15 Any mortgages or encumbrances or liens? THE COURT: MR. KAPLAN: There's no liens. 16 17 MS. KAPLAN: No. THE COURT: Nothing? 18 19 MR. KAPLAN: No. 20 THE COURT: Okay, and how about the New York City 21 property, the East 70th Street, 400 East 70th Street property, 22 is that held by you? 23 MS. KAPLAN: Yes. 24 MR. KAPLAN: It's held by both of us. 25 MS. KAPLAN: Both of us.

THE COURT: Both of you. Okay, and it's a condominium 1 2 not a co-op. 3 MR. KAPLAN: Condominium. MS. KAPLAN: Condominium 4 5 THE COURT: And the value of that? 6 MS. KAPLAN: Over 3 million. 7 Okay. Again, is there any debt, mortgage, THE COURT: 8 lien or encumbrance on that property? 9 MS. KAPLAN: No encumbrances. 10 MR. KAPLAN: No. 11 THE COURT: And for how long have you owned that property? 12 13 MS. KAPLAN: Over two decades. 20 years, since --14 MR. KAPLAN: Yeah, about 20 years. 15 MS. KAPLAN: A little over 20 years. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm asking, I'm sorry for 17 prying, but I'm asking you these questions because the bond is 18 going to be in the amount of \$5 million. It's a significant 19 bond. MS. KAPLAN: I understand. 20 21 THE COURT: That means if your sons do everything 22 they're supposed to do, and comply with the conditions which 23 Mr. Bagnuola outlined for the Court, then there's nothing going 24 to happen to the property you're pledging. Okay, do you

25 understand that?

27 MS. KAPLAN: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: By the way, did you hear the conditions 3 that Mr. Bagnuola outlined? MS. KAPLAN: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay, did you understand the conditions? 6 MS. KAPLAN: Yes, we did. 7 MR. KAPLAN: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Do you have any questions for me about 9 the conditions? 10 MS. KAPLAN: No questions. 11 MR. KAPLAN: No. 12 THE COURT: Okay. They've got to return to court 13 when they're required to. They have to cooperate with Pretrial Services as directed. Their travel is going to be restricted 15 to the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York. What does 16 that mean? Nassau, Suffolk and the five Boroughs, and 17 Westchester too, I guess, right. They're going to have to 18 surrender their passports. Okay? They may be subject to 19 random home visits. And no contact whatsoever with victims or potential witnesses in the case. And they're to refrain from 20 21 any employment at this juncture associated with investment 22 advising. Do you understand that? 23 MS. KAPLAN: Yes, I do, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So what that means is if they 24

25 violate -- either one of them violate these conditions, that

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1 puts you at risk. Puts you at great risk. It means the 2 Government can come in and they can -- you will owe them two 3 and a half million dollars for each of your sons. So, and it's 4 not just limited to the homes. When you're pledging and acting as a suretor for the 5 million total, they can go after any other assets, bank accounts, bonds, property, up to that amount. They can garnish wages if they have to. So by signing 8 this bond that you're both -- you're going sign bonds for both of them, you're telling the Court that you're willing to take 10 this risk that they're going to comply and show up and comply with the conditions. Otherwise you will owe the Government \$5 million. Do you understand that? 12 MS. KAPLAN: We do, we understand. MR. KAPLAN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And as I say, taking this on is a serious responsibility. And has serious consequences for you. 16 So, do you agree to act as a suretor, Mrs. Kaplan? MS. KAPLAN: Yes, I do, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, Mr. Kaplan? MR. KAPLAN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions of me 22 about what it means to be a suretor or the conditions? MS. KAPLAN: No, I think you explained it well, thank you.

MR. KAPLAN: No questions.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

19

20

21

23

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you can go back -- $2 \parallel$ you can sit at counsel table if that's okay, or the audience, 3 whichever you prefer. Thank you very much I appreciate that. And take the water with you.

All right, so Daniel and Adam, I just want to make sure that you understand the conditions of your release, Adam? DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes, I understand them.

THE COURT: Okay, you heard Mr. Bagnuola recite what the conditions of the release are? You have to report as directed.

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: This is to both of you. All right, I'm 13 going to ask both of you, make sure -- and Daniel understand that as well?

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that the two $17\parallel$ people that were just on under oath on the witness stand here are people who love and care for you, your parents. And they've now put their financial well being in your hands, okay, because they're putting faith in you that you're going to comply, that you will comply with these conditions and show up to court when you're supposed to, and cooperate with Pretrial Services, and all of the other conditions. If you violate any of these conditions, any of them, you could have the bond revoked immediately and you could be held in jail pending your trial.

1 And in addition, your parents will be on the hook for the \$5 2 million. And in addition there could be additional charges for 3 you, additional from the ones that are in this indictment, for bail jumping. Okay. Do you understand that? Daniel?

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Adam?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

20

21

22

23

24

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay, do you have any questions of me?

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: No.

DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: No.

THE COURT: Okay, so it's critically important you comply with this. And if you comply there will no consequences 13 for your parents, all right. Okay, you're also not to commit any, needless to say, new crimes while on bond. If you're 15 found to have done that, it's also grounds for revoking, apart 16 from the conditions that are in the bond.

And it's, one of the conditions is that you're not to 18 have any association of contact with any victims or witnesses 19 to the case. So needless to say, don't threaten to attempt to influence anyone's testimony that you might think could be a witness. Again that could lead to revocation of the bond and detention.

Do you understand that, Daniel?

DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes.

25 THE COURT: Adam? DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TREMONTE: Your Honor, may I be heard on that

point?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

THE COURT: Of course, Mr. Tremonte.

MR. TREMONTE: So, on that condition, which is condition 7(e), the indictment of course does not identify --THE COURT: Witnesses.

MR. TREMONTE: -- alleged victims or witnesses by names. And my understanding is that we have an agreement as between the parties that the Government will in short order supply us with a list of names so that we have some quidance as to compliance with this provision.

And just to complete the record, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. TREMONTE: I will be brief.

17 THE COURT: Okay.

> MR. TREMONTE: But just a few things. Number one, although we agree upon the bail package, we of course vigorously contest all of the allegations in the Government's bail letter.

THE COURT: Well let me just pause you there for a 23 second. Because this is for your clients' benefit. 24 because we have discussion about a bail package, whether you're detained, that has no impact on your presumption of innocence.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Do you understand that? You are presumed to innocent in this Court.

UNIDENTIFIED DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: You're presumed innocent, this is by statute, I have to do what I'm doing here today, determine whether you're detained or there's bail, and if so how much would assure your appearance. That's it. It has -- you should 8 take nothing from it that affects your presumption of innocence. Okay? Sorry, Mr. Tremonte.

MR. TREMONTE: Thank you, Your Honor. And then separately, just so the record is clear. This indictment has 12 been on the horizon for some time. This investigation has been 13 known to us for the better part of two years. We volunteered 14 to have both Adam and Daniel self-surrender, and they did in 15 fact self-surrender today.

THE COURT: I know that. Thank you, I appreciate you 17 pointing that out.

MR. TREMONTE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. Okay, so I understand the next date before Judge Brown is August 31, 11 a.m. And it's in person, right, Doreen, as far as we know.

THE CLERK: Yes, in person.

THE COURT: Okay, in person. Is there untying else 24 that we need to do at this point, Mr. Bagnuola?

MR. BAGNUOLA: Yes, Your Honor, just a few

8

9

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

1 housekeeping issues. I'm advised that whatever electronic 2 system the US Marshals use for processing folks, is either down $3 \parallel$ or not functioning today, such that the processing of Mr. Adam 4 and Mr. Daniel Kaplan was unsuccessful. We would ask, I 5 believe on consent, that as a condition of their release they be directed to report back for the completion of their processing tomorrow.

THE COURT: Yes, I mean that I think is subsumed in the condition that they report to Pretrial Services as directed. But it's good to have it expressed and agreed upon.

MR. TREMONTE: It is on consent, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

MR. BAGNUOLA: As Mr. Tremonte has indicated, the Government will provide a list of victims. I'll also note however, just the Pretrial Services reports do not contain any indication that either defendant is currently -- or any acknowledgment that either defendant is currently involved in investment advisory services. And so I don't expect that there will be contact with any clients of their investment advisory business. But in an abundance of caution, we will provide the names of folks that we ask Mr. Kaplan and Mr. Kaplan not to associate with pending trial.

THE COURT: Yes, I think you should because part of 24 the -- at least in looking at your letter from, on document entry 6 on the docket that you filed today, indicates that some

11

21

25

34 $1 \parallel$ of the victims were friends. So that's a little bit unclear as $2 \parallel$ to who they can contact, who they can't. So I think the sooner 3 the better. 4 MR. BAGNUOLA: Understood, Your Honor. 5 MR. TREMONTE: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. MR. BAGNUOLA: Pretrial has recommended, or has 8 requested, and Your Honor has ordered, that the Kaplans 9 surrender their passports. We would ask that that be done 10 immediately. THE COURT: Yes, that should be done forthwith. Any 12 reason -- is there any obstacle to that, Mr. Tremonte? 13 MR. TREMONTE: There's not an obstacle, Your Honor, can we do that at the same time that --15 THE COURT: Do the processing? MR. TREMONTE: Yes. So tomorrow. 16 17 THE COURT: Makes sense, yes. Agreeable? 18 MR. TREMONTE: Agreeable, Your Honor, yes, thank you. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. BAGNUOLA: And lastly, Your Honor --THE COURT: Hold on a second. I just heard something 22 from the phone. Mr. Creizman, do you agree with that? 23 MR. CREIZMAN: I do, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, very well, thanks. 24

MR. BAGNUOLA: Lastly Judge, between today and August

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

31st, the Government will be providing voluminous discovery to $2 \parallel$ counsel. And I anticipate engaging in good faith negotiations 3 with an eye toward resolving some or all of this case without 4 the need for a trial. Understand those circumstances I would respectfully submit that Mr. Kaplan and Mr. Kaplan's interest in a speedy trial is outweighed by the -- or the public's benefit in a speedy trial rather is outweighed in the interest 8 between counsel and clients in trying to resolve this case. So I would move for an exclusion from the computation of speedy 10 trial time, the period from today until August 31st. MR. TREMONTE: That's on consent, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's on consent, Mr. Tremonte. MR. TREMONTE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Creizman, you as well? MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, Your Honor, on consent. THE COURT: Okay. And -- well let me, Mr. Tremonte, have you had the opportunity to speak with your client and/or Mr. Creizman's client while you were in there about waiving speedy trial? MR. TREMONTE: We did, Your Honor. THE COURT: And what that means. MR. TREMONTE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And do you believe that they both understand what that means?

MR. TREMONTE: I believe they understand it and they

understand that it's in their interest to so waive. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. And your understanding is that 3 they are voluntarily agreeing to waive the time from today until August 31st? 4 5 MR. TREMONTE: That's my understanding, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure myself, Adam and Daniel, do you understand that under the law, the 8 Government has a period of 70 days from indictment in which to bring this matter to trial or otherwise dispose of the matter, okay 70 days. And today both sides are essentially making an application to exclude time in that 70 day clock, so it won't be counted towards the 70 days, from today until August 31st. 12 Meaning the clock doesn't even start ticking until August 31st. 13 Do you understand that? 15 DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes. DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. And have you had the opportunity 18 to speak with your lawyers about that? DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: Yes. 19 20 DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay, and are you now agreeing to exclude 22 that time? 23 UNIDENTIFIED DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And do we have a signed writing to that 24

effect? Okay. Did you sign the stipulation to that effect?

MR. TREMONTE: We'll do that now, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. So I'll ask you to do that. 3 But I'm going to ask both of you, has anyone threatened or coerced you in any way to agree to this, to give up this time 5 from now until August 31st? 6 DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: No. 7 DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: No. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And any other promises made to you 9 to induce you to agree to give up this time? 10 DEFENDANT ADAM KAPLAN: No. 11 DEFENDANT DANIEL KAPLAN: No. 12 THE COURT: Okay. All right, I do find that the 13 continuance of time serves the interest of justice. And that 14 this outweighs the interest of either of the defendants or the public, in denying the application. So I'll approve that as 16 well. 17 Anything else, Mr. Bagnuola? MR. BAGNUOLA: Not from the Government, Your Honor, 18 19 thank you. 20 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Tremonte? 21 MR. TREMONTE: Nothing for us, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Creizman? 23 MR. CREIZMAN: No, nothing from Mr. Kaplan, but thank 24 you.

THE COURT: All right, and thank you for

25

1 participating by telephone. All right, we're adjourned then, 2 thank you all, have a good afternoon.

MR. CREIZMAN: Thank you.

MR. TREMONTE: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. BAGNUOLA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

* * * * *

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I, PATRICIA POOLE, court approved transcriber, 10 certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/S/ PATRICIA POOLE 14

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TRACY GRIBBEN TRANSCRIPTION, LLC DATE: September 24, 2024